G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907.
(Mulgrai)

File No. 4

Serial Nos. 1—11

Papers Filed.

Month January 1910.

SUBJECT.

Re. the right to use certain insignia by the Hire-Natt at Davangere.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES

545—09.

C.R.S 9

Later reference
545-00

Muz 8] 190 .

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 4-1907

SUBJECT.

Re the right to use certain insignia by the Hire-math at Davangere

From

The Dy Commr, Shimoga Dist

No. 499/86 dated 20th May 1908

In Govt Lr of the 14th January 1884 rejecting the claim of the Guru of the Sekkunakal matt otherwise known as the Anantapur muruge matt in the Shimoga Taluk, for the use of the insignia of "Adda Palaki", it is observed that it is the policy of the Govt to restrict the use of "Adda Palaki" and other regal insignia to persons entitled to use them by express grant from the Sovereign. (Slip J)

On the 21st September 1904, Subba Bhatta, Agent of the Sri (Slip K)

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

Kudli- Sringeri matt petitioned Govt
esenting that the Jeeya of the
Bekkinakal matt made an applic
cation to the Sub Divl. Officer
of Davangere for the grant of
a license permitting him to use
Panchakalasada Palaki and other
insignia during the maheswar
jatera and Dasara festivities at
Davangere, and that the right to use
these insignia belonged exclusively
to his matt and praying that
the license should not as prayed
for, be granted to the Jeeya of
the Bekkinakal matt

The petition was forwarded
report to the Dy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug Dist. and that officer
has submitted a detailed report
thereon together with the records
of enquiry.

The Dy Commissioner of the (Paper under disposal
dist. that the petition evidently
to the resident Pattadakrany

Muz 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

of the Here-math at Davangere as a similar petition was presented there by one Lakshmanappa, pleader on behalf of the Kudli-Sringeri math He states that it will be seen from the enclosed records that one of the Lingayat residents of Davangere was obtaining a license from the year 1898 to take the Swamigalu through the public streets of the Davangere town with the marginally-noted insignia in connection with the Maheswara jatra and on the Vijaya Dasami day. In certain authenticated copies of license Applications received with the records, permission is sought to conduct Maheswara jatra and festivities in Kuddakalli Veerabhadra appa's

Alaki with Sankha-
Kahala
Bhuchakra
Udhanjaha
Apthagiri
Kempuchatra
(Skp 1

garden and also to take the deities and the Sarada Swamy in procession in palanquins with chatra, chamara, Asthagiri and certain other insignia, and orders have been issued by Magistrates granting permission to conduct Utsavams etc in strict accordance with manul without committing any breach of public peace, but no specific mention of the particular insignia to be used on the occasion is made appears to have been therein.

In the mahazar dated (Slip M
23rd December 1902, it is stated that there is manul to conduct maheswara jatra every year in Veerabhadrappa's garden, and also to take the Swamy and the deities procession in palanquins to the

garden and that the procession may be conducted in all streets with a single exception

The Dy commissioner further states that when a similar application was presented in Dece 1905 to Mr Srikanteswara Iyer, the then Sub. Divisional Officer of Davangere he sent it to the Amildar for enquiry, ~~that he~~ reported that the right of the Swamigalu to go in procession with the special honors was one to be decided by a competent Civil Court.

(Slip IV)

Mr Srikanteswara Iyer has observed that in spite of repeated notices, no documents were produced by the math in support of the Birudus claimed, that the math

(Slip 6)

Lo. 511 D/28/3/06

may resort to Courts to establish its right if any to use the insignia and that there is no objection to continue ordinary utsavams regulated by manual.

The Dy Commissioner further states that Nagenahally Shadakshariappa appealed to his predecessor against the foregoing orders and the latter requested me Chandy to institute local enquiry as to the existing manual and to send the records with his opinion

A number of men of various (Slip P
sects have been examined by me Chandy and depositions are made to the effect that the Pattada-swamy has been going through all the streets of Davangere

except Devanga street during Maheswari gaba and on Vijaya Dasami day with the above noted insignia and that none of the witnesses have stated that they have no objection to allow the Swamy to continue to enjoy the privilege.

In his order dt 22nd June (Slip P) 1907, Mr. Chandy is of opinion that " from the evidence (probably oral testimony of a number of witnesses) it is conclusively proved that the Swamy was going in procession with the insignia". He states that he is therefore unable to support the order of his predecessor and recommends that the manual -

should be restored

The Dy Commissioner reports that Mr. Lakshminarayappa, Pleader on behalf of the Kudli-Swamy makes argues that the said Swamy has no royal grant or Govt order to use the insignia in question that he being an Iyya has a right to use them and that in the absence of a decree from a Competent Civil court or a Govt order, he should not be allowed To use them that officer states that "it is admitted that there is neither a royal grant nor a Govt order permitting the Swamy To use these honors but the disciples contend that the Swamy has been enjoying (Paper under disposal)

the privilege for the past several years (at any rate for more than 12 years) and this fact is evident from the records" He further reports that as there is objection for the use of Pancha-Kalasa by the Murugarajendra-Swamy to whom the Pattada-Swamy is reported to be a disciple, the Swamy cannot be granted this ~~honour~~ honour. As regards other insignias, he is of opinion that the math may be permitted to use them.

As Subba Bhatta, Agent of the Kudli-Sringeri math made mention of Bekkinakal math in the Shimoga Distt., the Dy.

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

---

of Mai District was written (P.Q)
to on the subject to subjoin
documents if any, which may
throw light on the matter and
Mai officer reports Mai the
Swamy of Mai Matt & his agent failed
to produce any documents in support
of the Matt's claim.

AA/8/6/08 submitted for orders.

Orders have since been
passed rejecting the claim of Sri muruga
mai to for the use of the insignia
of "Panchakalasa".

Called
Dt 10/8/08 Is the Hire Matt in Davangere
a Muzrai instn endowed with
Inams from Govt. Is there a temple dedicated
to Maheswari & has that any inam?
Get these info from the D Commr.

12/8/08

Muzrai Secretariat File No. of 190

Note continued

Enquiry was accordingly made of the Dy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, as to whether the Hire matt at Davangere is a muzrai institution and whether there is a temple dedicated to Maheswari.

Vide letter no. 28 Dy dt 9th July 1908)

That Officer reports in reply that the matt in question does not appear to be endowed with cash or land Inams and is therefore a non-muzrai Institution. He further reports that there is no separate temple dedicated to the Deity "maheswari" and that the annual Jatra is held near the Basweswara temple on the Davangere Harihar road, which is a non-muzrai Institution

Paper under disposal

Submitted for order

W 28/7/08

Even though the institution viz
the Mutt is not endowed with
cash or land grants by Govt, still the
question under disposal is the use
by a religious institution of certain
insignia of royalty. In British
India the insignias usually in question
in such cases are not regarded as
emblems of royalty & the Govt does not
interfere with their use except when
such use gives rise to any breach of
the peace. In Mysore the case is
somewhat different & the emblems
are regarded as pertaining to royalty
& it is held that private persons
can use them only to the extent
permitted by a royal grant. This
appears to be the ~~relation~~ basis of
the decision on the question of
Adda palki & certain other honours.

GPB—3,000—25-9 07

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat. File No. of 190

Serial No.

The principle is no doubt a sound one & provides a simple rule for deciding disputed claims.

So far as the present case is concerned the Swami or Iyya of the Hire mutt in Davangere is reported to have been in the habit of proceeding in procession in a palanquin with certain emblems viz Panchakalasa, Bhuchakradakode, Ubhayachamara, Asthagini, & Kempuchatri, for several years past, and in the enquiry made by Mr Chandy several ~~not~~ persons of diffrent caste have admitted this fact & have expressed themselves as having no objection whatever to his proceeding in such procession. Certain representatives of the Kudli Sringeri mutt however object to the use of these emblems by the Swami. From the Dy Comm's report it is clear that the use of these emblems is not supported by any royal grant or sunnud & that the only claim rests on manul. The Dy Comm recommends that the use of 'panchakalasa' may be prohibited and that the other emblems

GPB—10,000—18-1-05

Serial
No.

might be permitted to be used.

This opinion may be accepted & a formal order passed permitting the use of these insignia, the matter being submitted to H.H. for orders as directed in the Yethiraj mutt Case.

It is a matter for consideration whether the insignias & honours may not be grouped in classes according to their value & the estimation in which they are held, so that there might be some well recognised principle in regulating the use of these honours.

2nd C.)

28
29/7/05

Please see if any mention is made of this Mutt & the honors enjoyed by it, in the Secretariat Compilation made in 1888(?)

31/7/08

Ad 2
The Compilation is meant to be at? No mention is made therein the Hire Mutt of Sringeri

Note—South sheet 31/7/08

31/7/05

G T S 197

Page ( )

Muz. 8 A]

15

Muzrai Secretariat File No. of 190

The opinion of the local authorities, based on a formal enquiry, may be accepted

WM R
31/7/08

15
1-8-08.
Dewan

9959
1-8-08
Dewans order

CSB
1/8/08

Circulate to 1st Councillor

6/8/08

No. 666 W/8-8 1908
10

Forwarded to Genl + Rev Secr for circulation to council.

CSBalasundaramudaliyar
for muzrai

8/8/08

Circulated
C.S.B.

I would request the Muzrai Office to be good enough to put up Sketches to illustrate the facts in opinion in question in this case. I do not think that the precise distinction between Bhuvanathaa Kolay and Chetti

No

Chettiri (Svaytha Chettiri or Kempu Chettiri) is well understood; nor that he has five Kalasas (four in the four corners and one in the Centre) and a single Panchakalasa (a Kalasa with 5 heads/points).

Some information regarding the duties of the Prabandhamany of Hariharpur Davangere Taluk may also be recorded.

Secretary

Muzrai

G D S 191

C 57
13 8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT.

Grant of certain insignia to the Fire Patt at Davangere .

Circulated to Councillors.

By Order

13th August 1908.

Secretary.

T. ANANDA ROW, Esq., B.A.,
First Councillor.

Seen by the Co

K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY, Esq.,
Second Councillor.

Seen by Second Councillor.

16
S 31) 12524
21.3.09

GRS 15]

Facing sheet for Cases included in the schedule appended to Notification No 36 of the 8th. Aug 1902.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Subject— Grant of certain insignia to the Hire Matt at Davangere.

	Date	Initial of Officer himself or responsible Deputy.
Received in the Secretariat		
Submitted to Secretary		
Submitted by Secretary to Dewan or Councillor in charge		
Returned to Secretary		
Circulated by Secretary for Council ...	13. 8. 08	
Forwarded by 2nd Councillor	Secn by 2nd C.	
Forwarded by Councillor		
Received in Secretariat		
Considered in Council		
Submitted to the Dewan		
Submitted to His Highness		
Orders passed by His Highness		
Final Orders issued		
Circulated to Councillors		
Passed on by the Councillor		
Returned to Secretary by Councillor...		

GPB—1,000—31-8-06

Complt: Kuda Sringeri Mutt

Bekkinakal Hire mutt

Shimoga Taluk &

Shankara Panchakalasa & other

vidyapeeas -

+ Dattatreya Swamy

of Hire mutt,

Davangere

(so says Chitty

Dt)

1 Palaki with Panchakalasa

2 Shuchchatra Kodey

3 Uchaya chamara

4 Asthapi

5 Kempa chethri -

- Dr Balakrishna is disciple

of Muni Sarvajnendra

Swamy -

Later objects to former using

Panchakalasa

GO 849 Dt 17 Jan 1899 - on claim of the Bekkinakal

mutt alias Anantapur Muruge mutt

in Shimoga Taluk -

No connection

Records Letters

between this & the Davangere Hire mutt

Subdo Mugrai Secy.

The Ch wishes to see the Manager the Mugrai Sects with the diagrams, if any, of the several inscriptions granted & claimed by the several Mutts in the State.

ZG
29/8/08.

Serial No.

(6) To,

The Dy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District

Letter no 1282 Dt 22-9-08

-calls for information regarding the Status of the Pattada Swamy of the Hire matt and requests him to send up sketches illustrating the 5 insignias in question and also to explain the distinction between "Bhuchakrada Kodag" and "chatri" and that between 5 Kalasas and a single Panchakalasa.

(7) From,

The Same Officer.

No. 328 Dt 19-2-09

(Paper missing in record)

Submits report on the Subject

As regards the status of the Pattadaswamy, the Dy. Commr states that the Guru of the Hire matt is a disciple of the Swamy of the Balehalli matt with Davangere as his Headquarters, that he has disciples in Davangere and Harapanahalli Taluks, over whom he exercises control in religious matters, that he does not enjoy any land inam or cash grant (from Govt) and that for his maintenance he has to depend upon the Kanike of his disciples

As for insignias, a sketch

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

Serial No.

has been received illustrating them. The Dy. Commr. also reports that "Bhoochakradakodé" is held higher in the estimation by the public than the other Chatri and that a single Panchakalasa higher than the 5 Kalasas (4 for the 4 corners and 1 for the centre)

AG 7/3/09

Submitted for orders

Murrai Under Secretary's remarks:—

Sketches of the several insignia have been received from the Deputy Commissioner. The distinction between the Boochakradakodé and Chatri has been made clear. The Bhoochakradakodé is broad and flat. The Chatri is curved. If made of white cloth (perhaps silk) it is called Sweta chatri. The Bhoochakradakodé is valued more than a Chatri.

As regards Panchakalasa it is said to be unusual to have one Kalasa at each of the 4 corners and one in the centre on the top of the Mêna. Generally, there will be one Kalasa at each of the 4 corners and a Panchakalasa in the centre

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

Serial No.

or only a Panchakalasa in the centre without anything in the corners.

The Panchakalasa is entirely a Royal insignia. Though the Muruge Math had some documentary evidence in its favour, the right to Panchakalasa was not conceded. So, the Hire Math of Davangere which is comparatively a mina Math cannot use Panchakalasa.

Considering the decision in the Sirigere Math case, I am not sure that the Hire Math can use some of the other insignia in dispute. The Bhoochakradakodi, I find from the Secretariat Compilation is a badge of the Sirigere & Vyasaraya Maths. It was not claimed by or given to the Muruge Math. I think the Hire Math cannot be permitted to use Bhoochakradakodi.

In the new Sirigere Math case, the Second Commissioner has recommended that Palaki, Chamara and Aftagiri which are considered as more important honors may not be granted to the old Sirigere Math. (Para 5 of 2nd Commissioners' minute).

Further mere Confirmed 15/7/08

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

Serial No.

As regards Aftabgiri and Chamara the Dewan has said "it may be a question "whether they are not honours which should "be exercised only with royal permission". In these circumstances, it has to be considered whether the Hire Matt is entitled to Aftabgiri & Chamara.

With regard to the Status of the Pattadaswami of the Hire Matt the Deputy Commissioner says that this Swami is a Disciple of the Balekalli Matt Swami with Davangere as his Head Quarters, that he has disciples in Davangere and Harapanahalli Taluks and exercises control over them so far as religious matters are concerned, that he does not enjoy inam lands & is poor & depends for his maintenance upon the Kanike of his disciples consisting of only 50 or 60 families at Davangere.

This case is similar to the case of the Sirigere new Matt and I recommend that the honours conferred to that Matt namely, Mêna Kempu chatri, and Raja Rajantri may be given to the Davangere

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50 000—18.5.08

Serial No.

Hire matt also.

Submitted to the 2nd Committee

Abdurahmanzai

9.3.09

seen. There is a considerable difference in the status of the Hire matt and the Sirigeri new matt - and the comparison made between them is not quite correct.
The Sirigeri old matt was permitted 10/3/09 to continue the use of the inscriptions which were found to have been established by Manool

Submitted to the Secretary

The information called for by the Committee has been received. As there appeared grounds for a reconsideration of the Land Committee's opinion the file was submitted to him, with a note. After

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

Serial No.

Proposals in this Final Consultation as this is a matter to be considered in Council.

Chief Secretary

24/3/1909

Rev. No. 68 of 08

Note:— Marginal Revenue Matter file is linked for purposes of reference.

Secretary
24/3/1909

19 (cr)

Ag 5.3.09

C 997
26/3/09

All things considered, and as a fair compromise between conflicting claims, it seems to me that the following items may be sanctioned:—

1. Mena - 2 Rajakariyattiri
3. Kempu chettiri
4. Ubhaiya Chamara —

Secretary
31 March

Dewan) Ag 2 April

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—18-5-08

Serial No.

There is no application pending before Government from the Hire Matt Swamy asking for insignia, nor has the Deputy Commissioner asked for any orders on this matter.

2. The question originated ~~from~~ with the protest made by Subba Bhatta, Agent of the Kudli Sringeri Matt, that the Hire Matt Swamy had asked for a license to go in procession with panchakalasa &c., and that such a license should not be granted.

3. The records show that the socalled permission is confined to two occasions only -

(a) Vijaya Dasami during Dasara and

(b) Mahesvari Jatra,

and on both these occasions, both the Swamy and Gods were being taken, while the matter has assumed quite a different form now, in that it is proposed to allow the Hire Matt Swamy the use of certain insignia in perpetuity -- in fact a request not asked for.

4. The Matt is a very insignificant one, possessing no inams or cash grants. It is not a Muzrai institution, and the Swamy has only 50 or 60 families as disciples.

5. Mr. C. Srikanteswara Aiyar, as Sub-Division Officer, Davangere, had referred the Matt to Civil Courts.

6. No procession since 1906.

7. The case is different from Sirigiri Matt. The latter Matt had appealed to Government against

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-68

Serial No.

the District Magistrate's order declining to grant the use of insignia.

8. The recommendations differ from one another.

Muzrai Secretary -

Mena

Kempa Chatri

Baja bhajantri.

Second Councillor -

x

Pancha Kalasa

Ubhaya Chamara

Bhuchakrada Kode

Aftagiri

Kempa Chatri.

First Councillor, now Dewan -

Mena

Bhaja bajantri

Kempa Chatri

Ubhaya Chamara.

x This has been declined even in the case of the Murgi Matt.

9. Under these circumstances the subject may be dropped.

To the Second Councillor.

All things considered, I think we may drop the matter. Subba bhatta's petition was presented

GPB—50 000—18-5-68

Serial No.

on 14 Sep 1907, and is the only paper requiring disposal. We may give him a reply if the matter is mooted again.

The Petition refers to Bekkinkal Mutha and I am not quite sure that it is the same as the Hirekal Matha of Davangere. The matter however need not be pursued further now.

17/6/09

17/6

Dy Commr Chitaldrug

18/6/09

7

Note continued

The subject was under orders dropped The petition of maganahalli Shadaksharappa on behalf of the Hire mate. at Davangere was filed with the observation that if any further petition was received, it would be submitted to the II Councillor.

Where?

Please see flag A)

The Dy. Commr of the Chitaldrug District now reports that there is

22 of 29 7. 1909

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

Serial No.

considerable local feeling on the subject, and that the decision based on Amildar's enquiry is not likely to give universal satisfaction and suggests that if Govt deem fit, the records may be returned to him in view to have the right in question investigated by the Sub. Divl Officer of Davangere, and adds, that the investigation has to be completed and final order passed before the commencement of the Dasara. 47

Submitted for orders the Counter

AG 31.7.09

JK.

Ag

31/7/09

1513 2-8-09.

The Dy Cr does not say whether any new petition has been presented by either party. Have they asked for any new inquiry? There is material enough apparently for a final decision by Govt. & Ask D.C. to report why he wishes to reopen the matter from the beginning. After receipt of a reply, we may decide what to do.

3/8/09

Dept-Secy

as

17/8/1

JK 5/8/09

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

Serial No.

Draft submitted
W 5780g

Cals

To, The Dy Commr, Chittagong Divn

Docket no 379 Dt 6:8:1909.

States in regard to the claim of the Hire mati at Dasangara to the use of certain insignia, that there is material enough apparently for a final decision by Govt and calls for certain further information in the matter

From, The Same officer,

Letter no 23 Dt 10:8:09.

Reports on the subject.

Papers under Separate

The Dy. Commr states that when he was last in Dasangara, some of the disciples of the mati met him and represented that it was the then Sub Divl Officer who originally held enquiry into the matter, but further enquiry was held by the Amildar and that on this representation, a requisition was made to Govt - He further reports that if there is material enough for a final decision which he could not make out from the papers available in his office, the records need not be sent and begs to ~~[illegible]~~ apologize for having

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

made the requisition

Submitted for orders
14/8/09

Submitted to Hon'ble Member in Charge
14/8/09

There is some confusion in this file. The notes do not show where Shadakshara Appa's petn comes in and what enquiry has been made thereon. Where is the petn sent to Subdn Magte referred to in his petn to Govt Sec'y d. 12 Oct 1908? Please mark the Papers showing the various stages of the enquiry & send up the file with the clerk dealing with it.

19/8/09

Manager. attend to this & show me the file before putting it up to Committee
21/8/1909

Remembered

In this petition of 12 10 1908 Shadakshara Appa's petition of 9 11 1907 presented to the Subdivisional Magistrate. The dispute had on-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—1d-5-08

Serial No.

granted two years earlier and on that day the records were within four days been received within the stipulated time vide D. Govt. letter No. 190 of 12. 10 1905

On receipt of the petition on Nov 1905 the Sub Divl Magistrate held an enquiry and reported to the Dist Magistrate (whereon the previous records had been forwarded vide No 575 of 22 6. 1906) who thereafter held that the records had been submitted by the Sub Divl Magistrate after convenient delay to the petitioner must have pleased the petitioner in his office. The petition is not now in force.

The petition of 1906 to the Dist Divisional Magistrate Ship N has been presented by Shivakotharaya. Mr Srikantaswamy Iyer's order being unfavourable this same petition appealed to the D Govt on 29.6 1906. This petition referred to Govt Chief for enquiry and he submitted his report on 22.6.1906. The records were then submitted to Govt on 12.10.1906; and have to be now disposed.

The petition of 1906 must therefore be the usual license application for that year.

Abstract

21/23 of 1906

GPB—50 000—13-5-05

Serial No.

The Dewan's note of 12th June gives an intelligible short summary of the record on the merits of the case. Now that the D.C's report shows that the parties expect a decision of the question (as shown also by the petition of Shadakaraiappa), we may issue a Govt order. I agree with the Dewan's view that Mena, Bhaja Bajantri, Kempu Chatri and Ubhaya Chamara may be recognised.

22

Dewan

28/8/09

Submitted to Dewan

Acting

2283/30/8/09 20/8/1909

Sadakshanappa's petn relates only to an appln for a license for a procession. This is for local authorities to deal with -

He claims no religious or temporary matt or any rights or his status prejudicing any such claim clear -

I see no reason for any action on the part of Govt

29

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

Subsidiary Selected Committee.

Although my chance of success should do I have forwarded an endorsement to Shadakshara Iyer. It is my humble opinion he did well not to send the petition again to the Board officers. Whether that our decision may bind the Swami and that there may be no further application. It is no doubt necessary to ascertain the wishes of the petitioner. Whether he is entertained by the Swami any decision passed will not bind the Swami. Even if the petitioner should obtain a decree from the Swami the question arises whether his engineering head title now will be binding. It is my humble opinion that he need not at present make any further efforts in this technical matter. But pray a decision on the merits.

C-116

280 Administrator

3/1/1910

The Correspondence subsequent to 6 Aug 1909 shows that some decision of the question by Govt is expected, and that even if we decline to decide now, the matter will be referred to us again. It is too late now to object that Shadakshara Iyer has no authority from the Swami of the Matha.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

Serial No.

If the Dewan Sahb considers that the matter had best be left undecided now, we may pass a brief order that the correspondence be recorded with the remark that if there is any dispute again on the subject, the D.C. may dispose of it in accordance with usage and precedents.

11/1/10

65

Rembr Mr Sd to Dewan

Abinbhuvyan

39/19
13.1.10

13/1/10

The difficulty lies in the fact that the Mukt Mamy is not adequately represented in these proceedings, therefore a binding decision in regard to his interests is impracticable. The point is as regards the licence asked for, a licence cannot be granted until disputes

The

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

33

Serial No.

7

the municipal Library, are decided -
that Govt. do bear the effect with regard -
Draft called accordingly for same -

15 Jany 10

3:5

Municipal Board Committee

Accountant

17/1/1910

I agree

19/1/10

Issue Order

21/1/1910

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

No 190
Ex. Miscellaneous
Separately

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Chitaldrug 12th October 07

From

M Chengalva Chetty, Esq BA
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To

L. Anantasami Rau, Esq. BA
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to your Official memo No 1708 dated the 30th September last forwarding for enquiry and report, the petition No 1387 dated the 21st idem received from Subba Bhatta, Agent of the Kudli Sringeri Mutt praying that the Swamigalu of the Bekkinakal Hiremutt in the Shimoga Taluk, should not during his evening tour be permitted to use Panchakalasa and other insignias, I have the honor to report that the petition evidently refers to the resident Pattadaswamy of the Hiremutt at Davangere, as a similar petition was presented here by Lakshminarayanappa, Pleader on behalf of the Kudli Sringeri Mutt.

As the Brahmin residents of the place objected to the use of the honors noted in the margin by the Pattadaswamy, a formal enquiry was held by Mr. L Chaudry.

2 Kumbhakrishna Nada
3 Veerabhadra
4 Chamara
5 Kahale

Senior Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate, Davangere sub Division. In submitting his report, he recommends the grant of the license as prayed for by the Petitioners.

It will be seen from the enclosed records that one of the Lingayat residents of Davangere was obtaining a license from the year 1898 to take the Swamigalu through the public streets of the Davangere town with these insignia in connection with the Maheswara Jatra, and on the Vijaya Dasami day. When a similar application was presented in December 1905 to Mr Srikanteswara Iyer the then Sub. Division officer of Davangere, he sent it to the Amildar for enquiry who reported that the right of the Swamigalu to go in procession with the special honors was one to be decided by a competent Civil Court. On this report, the Assistant Commissioner - Magistrate refused to grant a license. It is against this order that Maganahally Shadakshariah appealed to my predecessor on 29.6.06 who requested Mr Chandy to institute a local enquiry as to the existing manool, and to send the records with his opinion.

A number of respectable men of various sects have been examined and most of them have deposed in one voice that the Pattada Swamy has been going through all the streets of Davangere except Devanga Street during Maheswara Jatra and on Vijaya Dasami day with the above noted insignia and that they have no objection to allow the Swamy to continue to enjoy the privilege.

Mr. Lakshmana ranappa, Pleader on behalf of the Kudli Sringeri Mutt argued that the said Swamy has no royal grant or Government Order to use the insignia in question, that he being an Aiga has no right to use them, and that in the absence of a decree from a Competent Civil Court or a Government order, he should not be allowed to use them.

It is admitted that there is neither a royal grant or a Government Order permitting the Swamy to use these honors, but the disciples contend that the Swamy has been enjoying this privilege for the last several years, (at any rate for more than 12 years) and this fact is evident from the Record.

As there is objection for the use of Panchakalasa by the Murugarajendra Swamy to whom the Pattada Swamy is reported to be a disciple, this Swamy cannot be granted

His Honor.

As regards other insignias, I am of opinion that he may be permitted to use them. The entire records are herewith forwarded.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Muhammad Aziz
Jr. Sub Commissioner
Chital Durg Sub

To,

The Dy Commr
Shimoga Distt

Sir,

I am directed to invite attention to Govt Ord no 819 dated 14th January 1899 passing orders on the claim of the Guru of the Bekkinakal matt, otherwise known as the Anantapur Muruge matt in the Shimoga Taluk for the use of the insignia of "Adda Palaki." On the 21st September 1904, Subba Bhatta, Agent of the Kudli-Sringeri matt petitioned Govt representing that the Dyva of the Bekkinakal ~~Said~~ matt made an application to the Sub Divl Officer of Davangere for the grant of a Licence permitting him to use

Panchakalasada Palaki and
other insignia during the
Maheswari jatra and Dasara
Festivities at Davanagere,
that the right to use these
insignia belonged exclusively
to his Samsthana, i.e the Kudli Sringeri matt
praying that the License
should not as prayed for,
be granted to the Jyeya of the Bekkinakal matt.

This petition was forwarded
for report
to the Dy. Commr of the
Chitaldrug District and
that officer recommends
in his letter no. 190 dated
12th October 1904 (Copy of
which is herewith forwarded
for reference) that with
the exception of Panchakalasa,
permission may be granted
to the said matt to use
the marginally noted x x
insignia. As the matt
is reported to be —
situated in the Shimoga
Taluk, I am directed to request

1) Panchakalasa Kode.
2) Subhaga Chamara.
3) Aftabagini.
5) Kempu Chattra.

that you will be good enough to report as to whether the said Bekkinakal Matt did at any time use any of the above-mentioned insignia and also to submit in original ~ & other documents which the Matt may produce the ~~Cpont~~ records, which may throw light on the matter, to enable Cpont. to pass final orders thereon

2) The favor of a detailed report is requested.

I have etc.

No. 2368 Dft 16/11/04
Secretary
16/11/04

Copy to the Dy. Comm., Chitaldrug Distt. with ref. to his letter no. 190 dated 12th October 1904.

By order

Dft

Rev FC-19-7-3

1908

From M. Narain Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To L. Ananthanarayana Rao Esq B.A.
Secretary to Government,
Mysore Department

Dated

Despatched 20th May 1908

Received

General No. 499
No. 86

Enclosure No. 2

4721
22-5-08
MINERAL SECRETARIAT

Reply
AH
22/5/08
AH

Adverting to his letter No 2367 dated 19th November 1907, states the Swamy of the Matt referred to his agent have failed to produce a documents in support of the Matt's claim.

No. 499/86 En 2

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga, 20th May 1908

From,

M Narain Rao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To,

L. Anantasaumy Rao Esquire, B.A
Secretary to Government
Mysore Department.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 2267 dated 19th November 1907 calling for a report as to whether the Swami of the Bekkinkal Matt otherwise known as the Anantapur Murugi Matt in the Shimoga Taluk did at any time use any of the marginally noted insignia, I have the honor to state that the Swami of the Matt and his agent have failed to produce any documents in support of the Matt's claim.

Bhuvaikrida Kode
Ubhaya Chamara
Ayttagiri
Kempu Chatri

2. A copy of the Shimoga Sub-Division Assistant Commissioner's docket No 460 dated 6th May 1908 and of the Shimoga Taluk Amildar's report No. 1172 is herewith submitted for perusal.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

M Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

1908

From,

A T Madhadeva Rao Esquire,
Assistant Commissioner
Shimoga Sub-Division

To,

M Narain Rao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Dated 6th
Despatched 12th } May 1908

No. 460 Cur. 1 file.

His No 1736 dated 28th November last regarding certain insignia claimed to be used by the Bekkinkal Matt Swamy.

In reply, has the honor to forward herewith the Shimoga Taluk Amildar's report No. 1172 dated 1st Instant informing that no documents were produced by the Matt authorities though notices were served on them.

2. The docket from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District to the address of the Mudgal Secretary refers to the Swamii of Hirematt at Davanagere; whereas the docket from the Mudgal Secretary to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, relates

the Swami of Anantapur Bekkinkal Matt residing in Shimoga. These two Swamis are different personages and do not appear to have any manner of connection with one another.

(Signed) N. T. Mahadevarao
Assistant Commissioner
Shimoga Sub-Division

Copy:

Muthuraya Rao
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

M. 14]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Dy. Commissioner,
Chitaldrug district.

Dated 15th
Despatched
Received June 1908.

General No. 5601
Muzrai F. No. Inam 2-6

Enclosure No.

His letter No. 190 dated 12th Oct. 1907, reporting on the Petn. of the Agent of the Sri Kudli-Sringeri Matt, praying that the Swami of the Kire Matt at Davanagere may not be permitted the use of certain insignia.

With reference to the above has the honor to

GPS—3,000—21-7-06

request to be informed
as to whether the matt
in question is a Muzrai
institution endowed
with land or cash inams
from Govt. and whether the temple
of Mahesvari in Connection
with which a jabra is reported to be
held, has been granted
any inam for its
benefit.

2. The favor of an early
reply is requested.

3. The enclosures are returned
in this office

By order,
Dbl) 12/6/26
Secretary.

To
The Collector

Mugt F 4-1907-5
1908-09

From

The Assistant Commissioner
in District Charge,
Chitaldrug.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department
Bangalore.

Dated 17th July 1908

Despatched/8th July 1908

Received

General No. 28
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Stating that Sire Matt at Davangere does not appear to be endowed with cash or land means, and therefore not a Muzrai institution.

Papers
AV
7

Please put up a note
by 20/7/08
GO

note contd. and submitted
AV
20/7.

No. 28

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Chitaldrug Dated 7th July 08

From A. Mrityunjaya Iyer, Esquire, B.A.
Assistant Commissioner, in Charge
Chitaldrug District.

To K.S. Chandrasekhara Iyer, Esquire, B.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket
No. 5601 dated 15th June 1908, I have
the honor to submit herewith the report
of Davangere Amildar's No. 3 dated 6th July
1908 from which it will be seen that
the Hiremath in question does not appear
to be endowed with Cash or land Inams.
It is not therefore a Muzrai
Institution.

There is no separate temple dedicated to the diety "Mahesvari". The Jatra is stated to be held once a year for the pacification of Maremma (cholera or Plague) near any temple where water and shade can be found; and for some years past, it is held near a Basavesvara temple on the Davangere — Harihar road, which is not a muzrai institution either.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
A. D. [illegible]
Assistant Commissioner
in charge,
Chitaldrug District.

D.V.

D.O. as per orders

c/f 1

No. 1282 D/22.9.08.
Acknowledge

To, Nov 4-1907-

The Dy. Commr
Chittagong Dist

Sir,

Adverting to Corres-
pondence ending with your
letter no. 28 dated 4th July 1908
reporting on the claim of a
~~the~~ Hire mali at Davangere
for the use of certain
insignias. I am directed
to request that you will
be good enough to send
up sketches ~~to illustrate~~ illustrating
the 5 insignias in question
and also to explain in
detail the precise distinct-
ion between Bhuchakrada
Kodaya and Chattris (—
~~Swastika~~ Swêta chattri or

chethiri) and mat
between five kalasas
(four for the four
corners and one for the
centre) and a single
Panchakalasa (a kalasa
with 5 heads or points).
Information may also
kindly be furnished
regarding the status
of the Pattadaswamy
of the Hire mutt.

2). The records of
enquiry are herewith. received with your letter under reply

Returned for reference
& re-submission at an early date

Thanking etc.

18.9.1968
for Secretary.

(Mr. Bris
order)

18/9/68

Received
14.10.08

No. 17.

D926

1908-09

From The Deputy Commissioner Chittagong District.

To The Secretary to the Government of E. & A. the Chief Inspector of Telegraphs Mourgai Branch Bangalore

Dated 19th

Despatched 20th } February 1909.

Received

General No. 528

No.

Enclosure No. 2 files & 1 report

Submitting reports in regard to the claims of the Fire Mark at Davangere with a report.

Refer A1
Continue note and
[illegible]
24/2/09

328

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Chitaldrug District
Dated, Chitaldrug, 19th February 1909

From

Mr Cheruviah Chetty, Esquire, B A,
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To

C. S. Balasundram Iyer, Esquire, B. A.
Offg Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Mugrai Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 1282 dated 22nd September 1908 calling for further information in regard to the Claims of the Hire Matt at Davangere for the use of certain inscriptions, I have the honor to submit herewith as requested a sketch illustrating the marginally noted inscriptions.

(Mag a
1 ೧೨೦೨೫೦೦೦೬
2 ೦೦೮೬೮
3. ೮೦೨೫೯೮
4 ೬೧೨೬೮೧೨೮೦೫
5 ೧೨೦೪೨೮

(2) From the records of enquiry now submitted by the Amildar of Davangere Taluk, it will be seen that Bhoochakradakode is held higher in the estimation by the public than the other Chatri.

(3) As for Panchakalasa, there seems to be a diversity of opinion. But the five Kalasas (4 for the 4 corners and 1 for the centre) are

it is reported, not all Panchakalasa according to Sastras. Hence a single Panchakalasa with 5 heads or points is also held higher in the estimation of the public than the other one.

(4) Regarding the status of the Pattadaswamy, it will also be seen from the Amildar's letter (Flags No 635 of the 13th November last attached to the accompanying file of correspondence on the subject, that the Guru of the Hiremath is a disciple of the Swamy of the Balehalli Math with Davanagere as his Head Quarters and that he has got disciples in Davanagere and Harapanhalli Talukas over whom he exercises control so far as religious matters are concerned. For his maintenance, he has to depend upon the Kanike of his disciples consisting of only 60 or 70 families at Davanagere, as he does not enjoy any lands or Cash grant.

5 In the Concluding portion of the same letter, it is reported that the Lingayet population of the place who are the disciples of the Murgi math, are against the use of the Panchakalsa by the Swami of the Hiremath, as there is an objection to the use of the said insigna by the Guru of the Murgi Math itself, of whom the Hiremath Swamy is said to be a disciple. In this Connection, I invite your kind reference to my letter No 190 of the 12th October 1907 which contains my opinion in the matter. I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient Servant,

D. Srinivasamurthy

P.G.

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D 1516

Mrz 1-4-1907

One Maganahalli
-apha on behalf of
Math at Davangere
for Govt. orders as to
of the Swamy of his
for using certain inst
The case has been ad
to be filed.

Submitted for orders

31/7/09

May this also be filed

7

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—45,000—19-5-08

D 1516

Proc F 4-1907

1909 D 234

From Inspr 4-07.
K Srinivasa Rao Esqre
Offg. Deputy Commissioner
To Cuttacking District
K S Chandrasekhara Ayya Esqre
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Dated 29th
Despatched } Mysore Department
Received } Mysore Bangalore
Camp
~~General~~ No. 22
No.

Enclosure No. —

Requesting to return the records relating to the insignias to be displayed by the Hereditary Pallakisawarni for reasons explained, if they commend themselves to Government

Referred
H
3
30/7/09
H

82

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

Dated, Camp Davanagere, 29th July 1909.

From

K.Srinivasa Rao, Esquire,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

To

K.S.Chendrasekhara Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Mudaral Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to this office No.328 dated 20th February 1909 in regard to the insignias to be displayed by the Hiremath Pattada--swamy, I have the honor to report that there is considerable local feeling on the subject. I would rather, even at this stage, venture to submit that the right in question should be investigated by the Sub-Division Officer, Davanagere, and that any decision based on Amildar's enquiry is not likely to give universal satis--faction. If this suggestion should commend itself to Government, the records of the case may kindly be caused to be returned as early as practicable, as the investigation has to be completed and final orders passed before the commencement of the Dasara.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Srinivasa Rao

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

G.R.S. 29A]

190 .

From

Secy to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy Commr

Dated Chitaldrug 31st

Despatched 6th July 1904

Received

General No. 314.

No. -9

Enclosure No.

Adverting to his Letter No. 22 dated 29th July 1904 requesting the re-transmission of the records relating to the claim of the Hirekatti at Davangere to the use of certain insignia, has the honor to observe from the above records that there is material enough apparently for a final decision by Govt and to request to be furnished with detailed information on the points noted below:—

MGCPD—20,000— 6-2-05.

1) Has any new petition
been presented by either
party?

2) Have they asked for
any new enquiry? and

3) what are the grounds
for re-opening the matter
from the beginning?

2) ~~one~~ After receipt of
a reply to the above queries,
orders of Govt will issue
in the matter.

By order,
(this order)
Dft)
Sd/-
Jr Secretary.
Sd/-

339

1909 4-07-10

From The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To The Secretary to the Govt
His Highness the Maharaja
Mysore Department-
Bangalore

Dated 10th
Despatched } August 1909.
Received

General No. 43
No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Reporting that the record relating to Firearms at Davangere need not be sent, if there is material a requisition for a fresh decision.

Refer Govt
12/8/09
13

23

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

Dated, Camp Yeraballi, 10th August 1909.

From

K.Srinivasa Rao, Esquire,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

To

K.S.Chendrasekhara Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No.379/M.F.4-07 of the 6th August 1909, I have the honor to state that When I was last in Davanagere, some of the desciples of the matt met me and represented that it was the Sub-Division officer (Mr.Chandy) who held an exhaustive inquiry into the case and gave a decision in their favor and that the matt has exercised the privilege for over 10 years. Any further enquiry; if it was needed to rebut this right, ought to have been conducted by an officer of equal standing and that the present Sub-Division officer may be asked to report upon the subject. It was only on this representation that a requisition was made for the records with a view, if possible, that the present Sub-Division officer who possesses great influence may bring about an amicable settlement of the dispute.

2. If there is material enough for a final decision of which I had no knowledge from the available papers in the office, the records need not be sent. I beg to apologize for having made the requisition for the records.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

No. 1774/Mis. 7-4-47 dt 21-10-47

No. 1775/Mun. F. 4-07 encls 2 files
Copy forwarded to the Dy.

Commr, Chitaldurg Distt, with ref. to
Correspondence ending with Letter no.
23 dated 10th August 1909.

2) The Venn record are herewith
returned

By order,
Obt) U.L.Rao
for Secretary